



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	. FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/976,813	813 10/12/2001		Edward Larue Stull	010809-0003-999	4122
20583	7590	03/06/2006		EXAMINER	
JONES D.	ΑY		PRIETO, BEATRIZ		
222 EAST 41ST ST NEW YORK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2142	
				DATE MAILED: 03/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/976,813	STULL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Prieto B.	2142
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was preply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Fe	ebruary 2006.	
, <u> </u>	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathfrak l$	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicant's request for reconsideration mailed 2/06/2006 with respect to finality of the rejection of the last Office action mailed 09/07/2005 is persuasive and, thereby, the finality of that action is withdrawn.
- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. §121:
 - I. Claims 1-39, and 44-46 seem drawn to a so called data management system (claims 1-26 and 44-46) and so called a data quality control system (claims 27-39), comprising features for accessing and viewing data from a data source, analyzing said accessed data and having data management features thereon classified in class 707, subclass 1-10.
 - II. Claims 40, seem drawn to an operator interface (e.g. graphical user interface environment) for providing help comprising toolbar or menu items, classified in class 715, subclass 806-829.
 - III. Claims 41-42, seem drawn to modifying a data set from a selected data set, which used a specified selection criteria, the data set is modified to represent conditions required to test an application program, classified 717, subclass 124.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I-III are related as sub-combinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The sub-combinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. See MPEP 806.05(d).
- 4. Because these inventions (Groups I-III) are distinct for the reasons given above and because the search required for each group is different and not co-extensive for examination purpose because these groups would require different searches, i.e. the search of Group I and the search of Group II would require separate and/or independent searches; and further each searched invention i.e. Group III is not required for any other Group, the restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. §121 to one of the above- identified patentably distinct groups of designs. A reply to this requirement must include an election of a single group for prosecution on the merits, even if this requirement is traversed, 37 CFR 1.143. Any reply that does not include election of a single group will be held non-responsive. Applicant is also requested to direct cancellation of all drawing figures and the corresponding descriptions, which are directed to the non-elected.
- 6. Should applicant traverse this requirement on the grounds that the groups are not patentably distinct, applicant should present evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the groups to be obvious variations of one another. If the groups are determined not to be patentably distinct and they remain in this application, any rejection of one group over prior art will apply equally to all other embodiments. See Ex parte Appeal No. 315-40, 152 USPQ 71 (Bd. App. 1965). No argument asserting patentability based on the differences between the groups will be considered once the groups have been determined to comprise a single inventive concept.
- 7. In view of the above requirement, action on the merits is deferred pending compliance with the requirement in accordance with Ex parte Heckman, 135 USPQ 229 (P.O. Super. Exam. 1960).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prieto, B. whose telephone number is (571) 272-3902. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:00 to 3:30 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Andrew T. Caldwell can be reached at (571) 272-3868. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800/4700.
- 9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system, status information for published application may be obtained from either Private or Public PAIR, for unpublished application Private PAIR only (see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov or the Electronic Business Center at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand carried or delivered to:

Customer Service Window located at the Randolph Bldg. 401 Dulany St. Alexandria, VA 22314

Faxed to the Central Fax Office:

(571) 273-8300 (New Central Fax No.)

Or Telephone:

(571) 272-2100 for TC 2100 Customer Service Office.

B. Prieto Primary Examiner TC 2100 March 2, 2006 BEATRIZ PRIETO PRIMARY EXAMINER